

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

•				
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/092,290	03/06/2002	Steve J. Mast	19705-00001	7788
7590 12/31/2003		EXAMINER		
John S. Beulic		JOHNSON, RAYMOND B		
Armstrong Teas Suite 2600	sdaie LLP	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
One Metropolita	an Sq.	3652		
St. Louis, MO	63102	DATE MAILED: 12/31/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

Examiner

Applicant(s)

Group Art Unit 365Z

Johnson, R.B.

-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address-

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication .
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

S	ta	tı	JS

P Responsive to communication(s) filed on 43/66/260 &	
This action is FINAL.	
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal maccordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 	natters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims Of the above claim(s)	
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review PT	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the ☐ ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority do ☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) ☐ received in this national stage application from the International Bure* *Certified copies not received:	Examiner. C. § 11 9(a)-(d). Examiner been eau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). ☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892 ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152☐ Other
Office Action Summ	

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

"U.S. GPO: 1997-433-221/62717

Part of Paper No.

Application/Control Number: 10/092,290

Art Unit: 3652

OFFICE ACTION

1. The documents submitted in the IDS are noted and will be considered in due course.

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-8, drawn to a ramp structure for a vehicle, classified in class 414, subclass 337;
 - II. Claims 9-12, drawn to hydraulic lift/elevator assembly, classified in class187, subclass 203; and
 - III. Claims 13-28, drawn to a grain transfer handling system, classified in class 414, subclass 787.
- 3. Inventions I and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)).

In the instant case, the combination (Group III) as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination (Group I) as claimed.

The combination Group III invention (e.g. claim 13) does not require the particulars of the subcombinations, e.g. claim 1 of Group I.

The Group I invention can be used as a bridge over a waterway.

Application/Control Number: 10/092,290

Art Unit: 3652

- 3a. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Inventions II and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination (Group III) as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination (Group II) as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination (Group III) as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination (Group III) as claimed because the Group III invention e.g. claim 13 does not require the particular claim 9 of the Group II invention. The subcombination has separate utility such as a lift jack apparatus in combination with a vehicle repair apparatus.
- 4a. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination (Group I) as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the Group I (combination) e.g. claim 1 does not require the particulars of claim 9 of the Group II (subcombination)

Application/Control Number: 10/092,290

Art Unit: 3652

invention. The subcombination has separate utility such as a lift jack in combination with a vehicle repair apparatus.

- 5a. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed inventions: species A as shown in Fig 1-6, species B as shown in Figs. 7 and 8; and species C as shown in Figs 9-11. The species are related to the inventions as follows: Groups I and II, species A, B and C; Group III invention species B and C.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1 and 9 appear to be generic relative to the Group I and II invention; and claims 13 and 20, relative to Group III.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

. In the other wife water with the train with the water was a nine of

" to de l'esta l'andread lair an ma gip une

Application/Control Number: 10/092,290

Art Unit: 3652

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 7. Applicant is advised that the reply to these requirements to be complete must include an election of a single invention per section 2 above and a single species therewith per section 5 above to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 8. Applicant is advised to maintain a clear line between the claimed subject matter in the instant and the associated pending application.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raymond Johnson whose telephone number is (703) 308-2565. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30-7:30 A.M. to 5:00-6:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, E. D. Lillis, can be reached on (703) 308-3248. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/092,290

Art Unit: 3652

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-O fills

4177.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600